

# Directorate of Higher Education Reviews

**Programmes-within-College Reviews Report** 

B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering College of Engineering University of Bahrain Kingdom of Bahrain

> Date Reviewed: 4-7 April 2016 HC087-C2-R087

# Table of Contents

Ac	ronyms	2		
The Programmes-within-College Review Process				
1.	Indicator 1: The Learning Programme	7		
2.	Indicator 2: Efficiency of the Programme	.15		
3.	Indicator 3: Academic Standards of the Graduates	24		
4.	Indicator 4: Effectiveness of Quality Management and Assurance	.33		
5.	Conclusion	.40		

© Copyright Education & Training Quality Authority- Bahrain 2017

# Acronyms

ABET	Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology	
AIMS	Assessment Information Management System	
BS-PICENG	Bachelor of Science in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering	
CILO	Course Intended Learning Outcome	
СоЕ	College of Engineering	
DAC	Departmental Accreditation Committee	
DHR	Directorate of Higher Education Reviews	
ILO	Intended Learning Outcome	
MIS	Management Information System	
NQF	National Qualification Framework	
PIAC	Programme Industrial Advisory Committee	
РСАР	Postgraduate Certificate in Academic Practice	
PEO	Programme Educational Objective	
PILO	Programme Intended Learning Outcome	
QAAC	Quality Assurance and Accreditation Center	
BQA	Education & Training Quality Authority - Kingdom of Bahrain	
SER	Self-Evaluation Report	
UILO	University Intended Learning Outcome	
UoB	University of Bahrain	

# The Programmes-within-College Reviews Process

# A. The Programmes-within-College Reviews Framework

To meet the need to have a robust external quality assurance system in the Kingdom of Bahrain, the Directorate of Higher Education Reviews (DHR) of the Education & Training Quality Authority (BQA) has developed and is implementing two external quality review processes, namely: Institutional Reviews and Programmes-within-College Reviews which together will give confidence in Bahrain's higher education system nationally, regionally and internationally.

Programmes-within-College Reviews have three main objectives:

- to provide decision-makers (in the higher education institutions, the BQA, the Higher Education Council (HEC), students and their families, prospective employers of graduates and other stakeholders) with evidence-based judgements on the quality of learning programmes
- to support the development of internal quality assurance processes with information on emerging good practices and challenges, evaluative comments and continuing improvement
- to enhance the reputation of Bahrain's higher education regionally and internationally.

The *four* indicators that are used to measure whether or not a programme meets international standards are as follows:

# Indicator 1: The Learning Programme

The programme demonstrates fitness for purpose in terms of mission, relevance, curriculum, pedagogy, intended learning outcomes and assessment.

# Indicator 2: Efficiency of the Programme

BOA

*The programme is efficient in terms of the admitted students, the use of available resources - staffing, infrastructure and student support.* 

# Indicator 3: Academic Standards of the Graduates

The graduates of the programme meet academic standards compatible with equivalent programmes in Bahrain, regionally and internationally.

# Indicator 4: Effectiveness of Quality Management and Assurance

The arrangements in place for managing the programme, including quality assurance, give confidence in the programme.

The Review Panel (hereinafter referred to as 'the Panel') states in the Review Report whether the programme satisfies each Indicator. If the programme satisfies all four Indicators, the concluding statement will say that there is 'confidence' in the programme.

If two or three Indicators are satisfied, including Indicator 1, the programme will receive a 'limited confidence' judgement. If one or no Indicator is satisfied, or Indicator 1 is not satisfied, the judgement will be 'no confidence', as shown in Table 1 below.

## **Table 1: Criteria for Judgements**

Criteria	Judgement	
All four Indicators satisfied	Confidence	
Two or three Indicators satisfied, including Indicator 1	Limited Confidence	
One or no Indicator satisfied	No Con Glance	
All cases where <b>Indicator 1</b> is not satisfied	No Confidence	

# B. The Programmes-within-College Reviews Process at the University of Bahrain

A Programmes-within-College review of the programmes offered by the College of Engineering at the University of Bahrain was conducted by the DHR of the BQA in terms of its mandate to review the quality of higher education in Bahrain. The site visit took place from 4 to 7 April 2016 for the academic programmes offered by the college; these are B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering, B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering, B.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, B.Sc. in Architecture, B.Sc. in Interior Design, B.Sc. Electrical Engineering and B.Sc. in Electronic Engineering.

UoB was notified by the DHR/BQA on 22 October 2015 that it would be subject to a Programmes-within-College reviews of its College of Engineering with the site visittaking place in April 2016. In preparation for the review, UoB conducted its college self-evaluation of all its programmes and submitted the SER(s) with appendices on the agreed date on 10 January 2016.

The DHR constituted a panel consisting of experts in the academic field of Engineering and in higher education who have experience of external programme quality reviews. The Panel comprised (15) reviewers.

This Report provides an account of the review process and the findings of the Panel for the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering based on:

BQA

- (i) analysis of the Self-Evaluation Report and supporting materials submitted by the institution prior to the external peer-review visit
- (ii) analysis derived from discussions with various stakeholders (faculty members, students, graduates and employers)
- (iii) analysis based on additional documentation requested and presented to the Panel during the site visit.

It is expected that UoB will use the findings presented in this Report to strengthen its B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering programme. The DHR recognises that quality assurance is the responsibility of the higher education institution itself. Hence, it is the right of UoB to decide how it will address the recommendations contained in the Review Report. Nevertheless, three months after the publication of this Report, UoB is required to submit to the DHR an improvement plan in response to the recommendations.

The DHR would like to extend its thanks to UoB for the co-operative manner in which it has participated in the Programmes-within-College review process. It also wishes to express its appreciation for the open discussions held in the course of the review and the professional conduct of the faculty and administrative staff of the College of Engineering.

# C. Overview of the College of Engineering

The College of Engineering, at the University of Bahrain, owes its roots to the Gulf Technical College which was established in 1968 and which later became the Gulf Polytechnic in February 1981. In 1986, Amiri Decree No. (12) was issued to establish the University of Bahrain by a merger of the Gulf Polytechnic and the Bahrain University College. Following this decree, the new organization plan of the University of Bahrain was issued in November 21, 1987. The College of Engineering currently comprises five departments; namely the Department of Chemical Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering and Department of Architecture and Interior Design. The College is currently running a total of (11) academic programmes (8) at Bachelor and (3) at Master levels. The vision of the College of Engineering is to be among the leading colleges in the region and to maintain a respectful international status and reputation by sustaining a high quality of engineering education and scientific research. During the 2015-2016 academic year, there were (143) full time and (23) part-time faculty members supported by (60) administrative staff. The total number of students enrolled in the College at the time of the site visit was (4,113) students. The College obtained ABET accreditation for six of its bachelor programmes in 2008 and 2014, these are the B.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering, B.Sc. in

BQA

Electronics Engineering, B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering and B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering. In addition, the B.Sc. in Architecture obtained National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB) accreditation in 2014. Moreover, the College is in the process of obtaining accreditation by the Council for Interior Design Accreditation (CIDA) for the B.Sc. in Interior Design programme.

# D. Overview of the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering

The Bachelor of Science in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering is offered by the Department of Chemical Engineering. The programme was first implemented in September 2000 and (2) students graduated in the academic year 2001-2002 as the first batch of graduates. During the academic year 2015-2016, there were (12) full time faculty members supported by (4) technicians and (2) administrative staff members. The Staff to Student Ratio for the academic year 2015-2016 is 1:23. At the time of the site visit, the total number of students enrolled in the programme was (190) students, and the total number of graduates to date was (127) graduates. The Programme obtained ABET accreditation in 2008 and 2014. The Programme was also subject to Academic Subject review by the UNDP-Regional bureau for Arab state-Enhancement of QA in Institution planning at Arab Universities in 2008.

# E. Summary of Review Judgements

BOA

Table 2: Summary of Review Judgements for the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Indicator	Judgement
1: The Learning Programme	Satisfies
2: Efficiency of the Programme	Satisfies
3: Academic Standards of the Graduates	Satisfies
4: Effectiveness of Quality Management and Assurance	Satisfies
Overall Judgement	Confidence

# 1. Indicator 1: The Learning Programme

The programme demonstrates fitness for purpose in terms of mission, relevance, curriculum, pedagogy, intended learning outcomes and assessment.

- 1.1 The institutional framework for the development and assessment of academic programmes is outlined in the university quality assurance handbook entitled IDEAS: A University-Wide Outcome-Based Assessment Process. In line with this framework, the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering (BS-PICENG) programme developed three Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs) as broad statements describing the graduate attributes, as well as providing a framework to guide the design of programme intended learning outcomes, curriculum, and its provision. The Panel finds the programme objectives to be clearly stated and aligned with the mission of the Chemical Engineering department: 'to prepare students for productive careers in the discipline of chemical engineering or in the discipline of process instrumentation and control engineering'. This is achieved by equipping graduates with the knowledge and skills necessary for success as practicing process instrumentation and control engineers or in postgraduate studies. In addition, The PEOs of the BS-PICENG programme contribute to achievement of the mission of the College of Engineering: 'to educate students for positions of leadership and innovation in engineering and related fields', as well as the University's mission which emphasises 'excellence in teaching and learning; innovative research, building partnerships with the public and private sectors and reaching out to the local, regional, and global communities'. These alignments are clearly illustrated in the crossreferencing of the PEOs with the Department's Mission and University's Strategic Goals. The Panel appreciates that a sound academic planning framework is implemented for the development of the BS-PICENG programme to ensure that the programme aims are aligned to the institution mission and strategic goals.
- 1.2 The Curriculum for the BS-PICENG programme was developed to cater for the regional demand to train engineers with multidisciplinary background required by modern process industries. Students are required to complete (138) credit hours distributed as: General Education Courses (21 credits), Mathematics and Basic Sciences Courses (33 credits), and Engineering Topics Courses (84 credits). The (84) credits of Engineering topics include: Engineering Science Courses (21 credits) and Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering Core Courses (63 credits). The Panel is of the view that the curriculum includes a well-balanced mix of essential courses and provides unique education in the region for Process Instrumentation and Control Engineers. The Panel also finds the credit allocation per semester to be well-planned and balanced for an eight semester programme. Moreover, the prerequisite chart shows a clear progression path with an even distribution of credits over the semesters. Students interviewed by the Panel indicated that they find the workload, ranging from

#### BQA Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

(16) to (18) credit hours per semester, to be appropriate to achieve the programme's stated outcomes. From the review of provided evidence, the Panel notes that the students' knowledge and skills progress from basic science and engineering courses to the more advanced specialised courses such as Process Engineering courses, Electrical and Electronic courses, Process Measurement courses, as well as Process Control courses. During interviews with faculty members, the Panel learned that the courses for the BS-PICENG programme comprise inputs from internal and external stakeholders, including industry specialists. The Panel appreciates that the curriculum is carefully structured – in terms of academic load and progression – as well as well-designed to integrate relevant disciplines required for the BS-PICENG programme.

- 1.3 The general structure of the BS-PICENG programme strikes an appropriate balance between theory and practice which enables the students to gain fundamental theoretical knowledge as well as practical applied skills. During interviews, faculty members informed the Panel that all the general and core engineering courses are designed to enable the students to apply the knowledge and theory they gain in the classroom into practice during tutorial and laboratory sessions. Students and recent alumni interviewed by the Panel also confirmed that they were provided with adequate opportunities to develop specialised skills and apply their theoretical knowledge to solve engineering problems. Employers, in their interviews with the Panel, also highlighted that both the Industrial Training courses and the Capstone Senior Project add value to the programme, as they prepare students for successful careers and meet the need of employers. The Panel appreciates that the BS-PICENG curriculum equips the students with the engineering knowledge and skills required in the process and control engineering industry.
- 1.4 With regards to the skills developed in the curriculum, the Panel notes that areas such as technical and design skills - including the commissioning, configuring, and troubleshooting automation setups - are effectively covered. In addition, research skills, independent learning and team work are continuously honed through the curriculum. However, the Panel is of the view that the students' communication skills need to be enhanced. The Panel acknowledges the Department's efforts to incorporate oral and written communication skills in several courses to achieve the programme learning outcome-g 'an ability to communicate effectively'. These include three English language courses, Communication Skills I (ENGL 101), Composition and Reading II (ENGL 102), and Report Writing and Presentation (ENGL 242), in addition to an Arabic Language Skills (ARAB 110) course. Moreover, students are required to submit a written technical report and make PowerPoint presentations in several courses including, Junior Project course (PICENG 290), the Senior Project course (PICENG 490), Process Control Design Project (PICENG 422). However, upon examining samples of project reports during the site visit, the Panel found that the written communication skills are in need of further honing. The Panel's views were

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

also confirmed by the alumni and external stakeholders interviewed by the Panel. The Panel recommends that the College should revise the existing mechanisms for developing the students' written communication skills, in order to achieve the programme's learning outcomes.

- 1.5 The B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering programme is aligned with ABET criteria for accreditation of Engineering programmes internationally. In addition, the Department implements the QAAC guidelines outlined in the IDEAS Handbook, Programme Development and Review Policy and the Programme Quality Assurance and Enhancement Policy. During interviews with faculty members, the Panel learned that a 'Course Syllabus Form' is utilized in the preparation of the course specifications to ensure unified documentation of the BS-PICENG programme syllabus. The Panel was also informed that course coordinators are responsible for the development of the course outlines, which are then approved by the academic committee, and consequently by the Department Council. From the review of course files during the site visit, the Panel noted that all course specifications are documented and include the course aims and objectives, course prerequisites, CILOs and the corresponding mapping against the PILOs, course contents, teaching and learning methods, assessments, as well as references and resources. In its interviews with senior management, the Panel learned the syllabi are periodically reviewed against internal and external reference points, to ensure that they are appropriate in terms of complexity, relevance and addressing the latest technological advances in the field of Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering. Moreover, recommendations from PIAC, faculty and senior exit survey results, with regards to curricular content, are also taken into consideration and implemented by the Academic Committee. In the view of the Panel, all these inputs ensure the syllabus breadth, depth and relevance to the professional practice. The Panel appreciates that the syllabus is well documented, in alignment with internal and external criteria to ensure it meets the international norms of a bachelor degree in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering.
- 1.6 The BS-PICENG programme has (11) clearly identified learning outcomes which are stated in the programme specification. The Panel was informed, in its interviews with faculty members, that the College adopted ABET's 'Student Outcomes' as PILOs, in preparation for ABET accreditation in 2008. The Panel examined the PILOs description in detail and noted that all PILOs are clear, measurable and appropriate for the objectives of the programme. The Panel also noted that all PILOs are effectively mapped to the Programme Educational Objectives and to the University Intended Learning Outcomes. The SER details the achievement of PEO1 *via* PILOs (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,k), PEO2 *via* PILOs (a,e,h,i,j,k) and PEO3 *via* PILOs (d,e,f,g,j,k). From its interviews with different stakeholders, the Panel was pleased to learn that the BS-PICENG programme ILOs were developed in consultation with all programme constituencies, including employers, alumni, students and faculty members. It was

#### $\sim$ Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

also evident to the Panel that these stakeholders have a good knowledge of the programme's learning outcomes and their role in ensuring effective delivery of the programme. The Panel appreciates that learning outcomes appropriate to the level of the degree are in place and are well-aligned with the BS-PICENG programme aims and objectives.

- 1.7 There is a set of clearly stated intended learning outcomes for each course, documented in the course specifications. According to the SER, and as confirmed during interviews, the CILOs were subject to a number of reviews - in the academic years 2004-2005, 2008-2009 and 2012-2013 - to ensure their appropriateness to the type and level of courses. During interviews, the Panel learned that all teaching staff are required to reference the programme objectives and graduate profile when developing the course learning outcomes. Faculty members emphasised that the development of intended learning outcomes is the starting point in the design of all BS-PICENG courses, as they constitute the basis for all learning and assessment activities. From examining a wide selection of course files during the site visit, the Panel confirmed that the CILOs are appropriately mapped to the PILOs using the skills map as set out in the study plan. The Panel appreciates that the BS-PICENG courses have clearly expressed intended learning outcomes which are appropriately aligned with the programme learning outcomes to ensure the effectiveness of the academic programme. However, the Panel noted that a few courses have an exaggerated number of CILOs, with examples being Instrumentation I (PICENG 226), Process Instrumentation/Control Lab II (PICENG 411) and Analytical Measurements Systems II (PICENG 425). The Panel encourages the Department to review the learning outcomes of these courses to ensure that they are generally concise and succinct.
- 1.8 The curriculum includes work-based learning in the form of two compulsory courses: Industrial Training I (PICENG 299) and Industrial Training II (PICENG 399), during which students are placed in a work environment in government or private establishments for eight weeks. These courses aim at exposing the students to real work environments and enabling them to apply the theory, knowledge and practical experience they acquired in other courses. In its interviews with faculty members, the Panel learned that students must acquire fundamental knowledge and skills before they can commence these courses. A minimum of (45) and (85) credit hours must be completed before students can register in 'Industrial Training I' and Industrial Training II, respectively. The Panel is of the view that the first Industrial Training course (PICENG 299) is offered early in the programme. During interviews, students indicated that they are not adequately prepared to start training at the end of the second year. The Panel is pleased to learn, from its interviews with faculty members, that the Department is aware of this issue and is working on addressing it. There is a clear and appropriate assessment and grading scheme, and achievement is recognised through the award of (1) credit hour for each course. The final grade for the course is

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

distributed as: Company Assessment (20%), Attendance (40%), Academic Supervisor Assessment (10%) and Training Report (30%). The Panel was provided with adequate evidence on the implementation of the Student Assessment Forms (by the industrial supervisor and the academic supervisor) as well as samples of industrial training report. Students interviewed by the Panel indicated that industrial training provides them with opportunities to adapt to local work environments and acquire the necessary professional skills for their specializations. During interviews with external stakeholders, including members of the Programme Industry Advisory Committee (PIAC), employers and external project examiners, the Panel learned about how relevant and valuable these opportunities are for the hosting organization as well, as it provides them with the opportunity to select potential future employees. The Panel appreciates that work-based learning is integrated in the curriculum and contributes effectively to the achievement of the programme outcomes.

- 1.9 According to the SER, a unified approach constituting eight guiding principles is implemented to inform good practice in teaching and learning in the Chemical Engineering Department. During interview with faculty members and students, the Panel verified that a variety of teaching methods appropriate to the different courses' levels are utilized in the BS-PICENG programme. These include interactive lectures, case studies, projects, group work, laboratory experiments, computer software, as well as e-learning using Blackboard. Moreover, from the review of course files, the Panel noted that all course outlines have been revised to include the teaching methods that will be applied by the faculty in the delivery of these courses. The Panel is also pleased to note that 'Improvement in teaching' is an ongoing initiative in the programme's improvement plan, and includes training of faculty members in new teaching methodologies as well as the communication of teaching and learning policies to all faculty and students. The Panel appreciates that a wide range of teaching methods are employed to ensure the attainment of the courses' learning outcomes.
- 1.10 With regards to the development of independent learning, the Panel notes with appreciation that the Chemical Engineering Department incorporates different approaches to encourage students' participation in learning; these include interactive teaching, problem-based learning, project-based methods, as well as project design courses all of which require independent learning from the student. From provided evidence and interviews with faculty members, it was evident to the Panel that self-learning is encouraged and students interviewed by the Panel confirmed that opportunities for independent learning are increased as they progress through the programme. The curriculum also includes courses focusing on professional practice and application, such as the Process Control Design Project Course (PICENG 422) and Senior Project (PICENG 490). In addition, professional workshops, field trips, and seminars by invited speakers from industry are regularly organized by the Department. The Panel appreciates that independent learning and exposure to

Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

professional practice are ensured in the curriculum through the implementation of effective mechanisms. Whilst the Panel acknowledges the Department's efforts for improving the teaching and learning, it is of the view that these efforts need to be included within a documented policy framework. In its interviews with senior management, the Panel learned that while there is no explicit teaching and learning policy; there are common institutional policies and regulations that are applied to unify the good practices in teaching and learning in the Chemical Engineering Department. These include the 'Regulations of Study and Examinations at University of Bahrain', the 'Programme Quality Assurance and Enhancement Policy' as well as the IDEAS Handbook. The Panel recommends that the College should build on these policies and regulations to inform the development of explicit guidance on teaching strategies that best support the educational objectives of the BS-PICENG programme.

- 1.11 The Department of Chemical Engineering implements suitable mechanisms for the design and administration of formative and summative assessment of students' achievements. These mechanisms are clearly detailed in the institutional assessment policies and procedures; including the Study and Examination Regulations at the University of Bahrain, Assessment and Moderation Policy, QAAC Assessment Strategy, Anti-plagiarism Policy, the Programme Quality Assurance and Enhancement Policy and the IDEAS Handbook. From the review of these policies, the Panel notes that they appropriately address essential aspects of students assessment including: assessment guidelines, alignment of student assessment and learning outcomes, grading guidelines, prompt feedback to students, moderation and grade distribution guidelines, as well as the fair and consistent application of assessment regulations. Students interviewed by the Panel confirmed that, at the beginning of each semester, they are provided with the course syllabus, including information on the assessment methods used and their weights. The Panel appreciates that a comprehensive system is in place for the reliable and transparent assessment of students achievements. In its interviews with academic staff, however, the Panel noted that some faculty members have insufficient knowledge of some recently developed policies (e.g. external examiners policies). The Panel encourages the Department to enhance the communication of new policies via departmental meetings and induction programmes (see recommendation in section 4.1).
- 1.12 Appropriate mechanisms are also in place for grading the students' achievements with fairness and providing them with prompt feedback on their performance. The Assessment and Moderation Policy stipulates that 'prompt and objective feedback should be provided to students on their assessment results within two weeks from the date of the assessment activity'. During interviews, students informed the Panel that they receive prompt feedback, as well as the grading criteria and model answers, to ensure fairness of the grades being allocated. An appeals process is in place should a student not be satisfied with certain outcomes, and may appeal to have the assessment

### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

re-checked. This was confirmed by the Panel from the review of some appeals cases provided as evidence. The Panel appreciates that appropriate assessment arrangements are in place for grading students' achievements with fairness and rigour.

- 1.13 In coming to its conclusion regarding The Learning Programme, the Panel notes, *with appreciation*, the following:
  - A sound academic planning framework is implemented for the development of the BS-PICENG programme to ensure that the programme aims are aligned to the institution mission and strategic goals.
  - The curriculum is carefully structured in terms of academic load and progression as well as well-designed to integrate relevant disciplines required for the BS-PICENG programme.
  - The curriculum equips the students with an appropriate balance of engineering knowledge and skills required in the process and control engineering industry.
  - The syllabus is well documented, in alignment with internal and external criteria to ensure it meets the international norms of a bachelor degree in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering
  - Learning outcomes appropriate to the level of the degree are in place and are well-aligned with the BS-PICENG programme aims and objectives.
  - The courses have clearly expressed intended learning outcomes which are appropriately aligned with the programme learning outcomes to ensure the effectiveness of the academic programme.
  - Work-based learning is integrated in the curriculum and contributes effectively to the achievement of the programme outcomes.
  - Independent learning and exposure to professional practice are ensured in the curriculum through the implementation of effective mechanisms.
  - A wide range of teaching methods are employed to ensure the attainment of the courses' learning outcomes
  - A comprehensive system is in place for the reliable and transparent assessment of students achievements.
  - Appropriate student feedback and appeals arrangements are in place for grading students' achievements with fairness and rigour.
- 1.14 In terms of improvement the Panel **recommends** that the College should:
  - revise the existing mechanisms for developing the students' written communication skills, in order to achieve the programme's learning outcomes

• build on existing teaching and learning policies and regulations to inform the development of explicit departmental guidance on teaching strategies that best support the educational objectives of the BS-PICENG programme.

## 1.15 Judgement

On balance, the Panel concludes that the programme **satisfies** the Indicator on **The Learning Programme.** 

# 2. Indicator 2: Efficiency of the Programme

*The programme is efficient in terms of the admitted students, the use of available resources - staffing, infrastructure and student support.* 

- 2.1 Admission to the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering programme is determined by the institutional policies and procedures for admission in undergraduate programmes. In terms of these policies, the prospective student must have a high school grade of at least (70%) and pass a personal interview as well as an aptitude test conducted by the University. Although there are no minimum English language requirements such as TOEFL/IELTS, students who have a secondary school grade of less than (90%) are required to complete an Orientation English Programme - consisting of non-credited (9) hours per week course (ENGLR 015) before joining the programme. The Panel notes that as part of the special requirements for the College of Engineering, students coming from private schools must pass a standard international examination (IGCSE, GCSE) of at least a grade of (C) particularly in English, mathematics, any two courses from physics, chemistry, biology or any other scientific subject. During interviews, the Panel confirmed that currently there are no additional admission requirements for the BS-PICENG programme. The Panel notes that the admission policies and procedures, including those for transfer students, are clearly stated in the Study and Examination Regulations and are published on the university website as well as in university catalogues. The Panel also notes that the admission policies are periodically reviewed, in light of analysis of students' performance and in alignment with international standards. This is evidenced from the introduction of the Aptitude Test as an admission requirement in the last review. The Panel appreciates that clear admissions policies are in place and are periodically revised to recruit students with appropriate profiles for the BS-PICENG programme.
- 2.2 According to the SER, during the academic year 2014-2015, there were (88) students registered in the BS-PICENG programme (74% males and 26% females). The majority of students (89%) are Bahraini, and all students are enrolled full time in the programme. Over the last three years there has been a healthy intake of students admitted into the 1<sup>st</sup> year: (13) in 2012-2013, (29) in 2013-2014, and (26) in 2014-2015. During interviews, the Panel learned that whilst there are no specific examinations designed for admission to the BS-PICENG programme, the university entrance examination, the admission interviews, the aptitude test are considered adequate by the Panel to recruit appropriate students for the programme. From provided evidence and site visit interviews, the Panel acknowledges that overall, the profile of admitted students matches the programme aims and available resources.

#### BQA Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

- 2.3 The BS-PICENG programme is offered and managed by the Chemical Engineering Department. Clear lines of accountability are in place and a well-managed structure exists with well-defined responsibilities at the department, college and university level. The Dean is responsible for assuring the effectiveness of the educational processes in the College, whereas the Departments' Chairpersons, are in-charge of the programmes management. The Department Chairperson oversees assigned responsibilities, supported by a comprehensive structure of departmental committees. The Department has nine permanent committees, these are the Academic Committee, Department Accreditation Committee, (DAC), Department Activities, Seminar, & Website Committee, Laboratory and Safety Committee, Library, Textbooks, & Software Committee, Postgraduate Committee, Promotion & Conference/Seminar Attendance Committee, Research & Equipment Committee and the Timetable Committee. From provided documents and interview session, the Panel found strong evidence that all the committees have clear mandates related to the management of the programme and prepare proposals in their respective areas for the Chairperson. The Panel also confirmed that the decision-making process follows the hierarchy from the Department Council to the College Council and University Council, with the relevant Council having ultimate responsibility for decision-making. The Panel appreciates that a well-managed structure is in place for the management of the BS-PICENG programme, with well-defined responsibilities and reporting lines.
- 2.4 The University of Bahrain has a well-established, systemic approach for recruitment, selection, appointment and retention of staff. Policies and guidelines are in place and the recruitment process is detailed in the SER showing the steps undertaken both by the Department and the College for recruiting new staff members. During interviews, the Panel learned that vacancies are advertised on the university website after which received CVs are studied by the Department Recruitment Committee for a thorough scrutiny and ranking of candidates. The Committee's recommendations for appointment are then discussed in the Department and College Council Meetings, with final approval of selected candidates being made in the University Council. This was confirmed by the Panel from the study of provided evidence. The Panel appreciates that recruitment procedures are implemented in a transparent manner in alignment with institutional policies and procedures. However, the Panel notes that there are no formal approaches with regards to the retention of academic staff. During the interviews, the Panel was informed that retention is encouraged through incentives and participation in international conferences. Moreover, the Panel learned that induction of new staff is performed informally at a programme level, during which new staff members are introduced to the College and provided with a copy of Faculty Handbook. The Panel recommends that the College should develop formal mechanisms for the induction of newly-appointed academic staff as well as for the retention of high performing faculty members.

### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

- 2.5 There are comprehensive 'Academic Promotion Regulations' with a set of criteria including research, community service, in addition to the teaching and learning activities. However, faculty members interviewed by the Panel indicated that the processing of promotion applications was very lengthy and slow. Some staff also indicted that they are unable to fulfill the current promotion criteria due to increased teaching load which slows the promotion process on the average. In its interviews with senior management, the Panel was informed that the University is working towards streamlining the promotion process. The Panel notes, from provided data, that only one faculty member has been promoted in the Department over the past five academic years. The Panel recommends that the College should review the promotion procedures to significantly shorten the time required to process promotion applications. With regards to staff appraisals, the Panel notes that the process currently involves student evaluation via student surveys at the end of each semester. During interviews, the Panel was informed that a comprehensive evaluation of academic staff performance is only conducted at the time of promotion, and contract renewal for non-Bahraini staff members. The Panel confirmed that there is no provision for annual staff appraisals that are linked to staff professional development. The Panel recommends that the College should develop and implement an annual comprehensive appraisal system for all academic staff that identifies and supports areas for professional development.
- 2.6 The College of Engineering utilizes the institutional Management Information System (MIS) to guide informed decision-making in the management of its academic programmes. The SER provides details of the system's major sub-components including: Online Registration, Timetable Preparation, Academic Advising, Elearning, Human Resources, Training and Quality Assurance. During the site visit, the Panel confirmed the MIS is efficiently maintained by the University Information Technology (IT) Center to ensure availability of the system's components to all stakeholders. In its interviews with academic and administrative staff, the Panel learned that the Department makes use of the MIS to provide up-to-date information about the students and faculty members of the programme. For example, the Department has access to a wide range of data such as student records, advising records, faculty records, examination marks, tracking ordered laboratory equipment, and quality assurance reports. Students interviewed by the Panel confirmed that the system allows them to download the necessary forms required for online registration and other aspects related to the management of their learning. The Panel notes that access to the MIS is subject to password compliance, whereby staff and students have restricted access to the system via a secured login protocol. During interviews, the Panel heard several examples of the use of reports generated by the MIS for decisionmaking in the Department. The Panel appreciates that an effective Management Information System is utilized by the Department to support the programme functions and decision-making processes.

- 2.7 Appropriate policies and procedures are in place to ensure the security of learners' records, and the accuracy of results. At university level, it is the responsibility of the Deanship of Admission and Registration to secure and safeguard all student related records, in both electronic and hardcopy. At college level, academic departments are responsible for keeping records of all examinations, project reports, student grades, attendance as well as related policies and procedures. During interviews, the Panel learned that academic staff are responsible for the retention of marked assessments and for submission of students' grades to the Department Chairperson. The Department Chairperson is responsible for confirming grades and submitting these to the Dean of College, for confirmation and submission to the Deanship of Admission and Registration. The SER describes the procedures in place to ensure the security of learner records. During the site visit interviews and the campus tour, the Panel confirmed that copies of all critical records are maintained at different levels by the Deanship of Admission and Registration and in the Department of Chemical Engineering. The Panel notes that a robust system is in place for the backup and disaster recovery of student records, with clearly prescribed periods for retention and back-ups. In addition, an electronic backup is executed every semester by the IT Center. From its interviews with the IT Centre staff, the Panel is satisfied that the security of records is ensured through clearly defined mechanisms for authorization, storage of data, privacy of information, and the use of appropriate security tools. The Panel appreciates that a robust system, including effective policies and procedures, is consistently implemented, to ensure security of learner records and accuracy of results.
- 2.8 The College of Engineering is currently located in the UoB Isa Town Campus. During the site visit, the Panel toured the departmental and college facilities including the teaching classrooms, specialised laboratories, computer laboratories, library, staff offices, the food court, and venues for extra-curricular and sporting activities. The Panel observed that there is a sufficient number of classrooms, all of which are equipped with computers and smart boards for use by instructors, with internet connectivity provided in every office and laboratory in all the college buildings. The Department of Chemical Engineering has six specialised laboratories; these are the Process Analytics Laboratory, Unit Operations Laboratory I, Unit Operations Laboratory II, Research Laboratory, Process Control Laboratory and Process Measurement & Instrumentation Laboratory. The departmental laboratory infrastructure is further supported by (13) College Computer laboratories equipped with a total of (290) computers and one multimedia projector in each laboratory. Excellent software packages are also available to all: ASPEN HYSYS, Control station, Matlab, Polymath in addition to MS Office, Labview, MPLAB IDE etc. Guidance is provided in the laboratories concerning laboratory manuals and safety directives, which are supervised by a safety officer and training is offered several times a year. Blended learning is facilitated by the extensive multimedia facilities and installed

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

Ethernet, Fibre Optic and Wi-Fi networks. Major maintenance of the laboratories and laboratory equipment is carried out by specialised personnel. The SER states that, since 2008, the Chemical Engineering Department's facilities have undergone major improvements including the upgrading of laboratories and the renovation of classrooms. This was confirmed during interviews with faculty members. Students interviewed by the Panel also indicated that they are satisfied with the currently available facilities in the Department. The Panel notes with appreciation that the available facilities are sufficient in number and specifications to meet the needs of the BS-PICENG programme's staff and students.

- 2.9 In addition to the Central Library located in the University of Bahrain Sakhir Campus, the Isa Town Campus has a library devoted to the College of Engineering faculty and students. The visit to the library confirmed that an appropriate range of textbooks, journals and e-resources are available for the Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering programme and are to an international standard. The number of printed books related to the PICENG programme is about (590) in English. In addition, students have access to (438) fully-searchable e-reference books and e-journals in the field of Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering from the digital library portal. The online catalogue (Sirsi Dynix) enables students to locate books and ask for their borrowing. The presence of a digital library also makes it possible for students to remotely access journals and e-books. Students interviewed by the Panel expressed their satisfaction with the library services such as the online system, the study rooms, and the common study area. The Panel appreciates that the library is fit for purpose with a range of resources and services that meet the needs of the staff and students of the BS-PICENG programme.
- 2.10 A tracking system is in place to determine the usage of laboratories, classrooms, and library resources. A laboratory engagement timetable and an 'enrollment list' is provided by the registration office for each semester, to enable the department to manage the utilization of laboratories and classrooms for the programme. During interviews with administrative and academic staff, the Panel learned that the laboratory technicians keep a daily schedule of their laboratory usage as they are normally used for laboratory sessions of most of the courses. With regards to the library e-learning and e-resources, the Panel was informed that the library and e-learning center are mainly responsible for tracking the usage of these resources and tracking reports are provided for the department upon request.
- 2.11 The University of Bahrain provides student support activities at many levels; these include, laboratory support, library support, e-resources, guidance and counselling, as well as health care. A description of the extensive array of student support activities available to the programme's students is reported in detail in the SER. During the site visit, the Panel confirmed that laboratories have dedicated technicians who are

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

responsible for resolving any problems encountered by students in the use of laboratory equipment and hardware. The Zain e-Learning Centre also provides a range of services and courses to support students in use of learning technologies. The Library provides a range of services and support for students, both generic and through subject librarians. Support for students includes: Library induction; information literacy training; and workspaces in the Library, including for students with special needs. General guidance and support come within the responsibility of the Deanship of Student Affairs, with support organised in service areas: Student Activities; Student Services and Developments; Students Advice and Guidance; and Training and Development. The Panel acknowledges the impressive range of workshops organised for students on a wide range of topics including: leadership development, computer literacy, scientific report writing, Life skills and Psychological skills development. The Panel also notes that the Career Counselling Office provides a range of support services for students: student career guidance; professional liaison; training, supporting students' practical skills; and marketing students to the jobs market, including job-shadowing and professional workshops. The University Health Clinic provides comprehensive on-campus healthcare to students. The appropriateness of available support, as documented in the SER, was confirmed by the Panel in interviews with administrative staff. Students interviewed by the Panel also expressed their satisfaction with the range of support services available to them and indicated that with the planned move to the new campus, they will benefit more from full, easy access to all of the University's facilities. The Panel appreciates that an extensive range of support services is provided to the programme's students to enhance their learning experience.

2.12 At the beginning of each academic year, the Deanship of Students Affairs and the Deanship of Admissions and Registration organize an induction day for all newly admitted students at UoB. During this induction, students are informed about the academic facilities and services at the University, as well as the academic rules and regulations. In addition, an overview of the different educational and social activities is also provided to students, along with orientation programme publications. During interviews, the Panel was informed that different student bodies also participate in the induction of new and transferred students to familiarize them with the activities of students clubs and societies. In addition to the general university orientation, the College of Engineering organizes an induction day during which students meet with the academic and administrative staff members of the Department. During its interviews with staff members, the Panel learned that college induction includes an overview of the college and departments by the Dean and Chairperson, the introduction of academic programme plans by academic advisors, as well as touring of college facilities. The Panel appreciates that a comprehensive induction programme is provided for newly admitted students at university, college and department levels. However, the Panel notes, from provided evidence, the low number of students who

### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

attended the induction day in the academic year 2013-2014, constituting only (49%) of total students admitted in the College of Engineering. During interviews, the Panel learned that currently the induction programme is not compulsory and that the College is working towards addressing this issue. The Panel notes that the Department has identified, in its programme improvement plan, an improvement goal to 'improve the student induction' with clear action steps including that: (1) the induction programme should be made compulsory to all students (2) the induction programme should be held more than once at the beginning of the semester to accommodate all the new students. The Panel concurs and recommends that the College should enhance students' attendance during orientation and implement appropriate provisions for those students who cannot attend the induction day.

2.13 An institutional Academic Advising Framework that details the responsibilities of academic advisors and the processes for tracking the students' academic progress is in place. In line with this framework, students are required to meet their advisors at the beginning of each semester to guide them in selecting courses that ensure the successful and timely completion of their studies. The Panel notes the elaborate scheme that has been put in action to allow effective implementation of the advising system; this includes the Academic Advising website, Advising Tool, as well as the appointment of a Chief Departmental Advisor who provides guidance to other faculty advisors. During interviews with academic advisors, the Panel was informed that tracking the students' progress is a collaborative effort between the Chemical Engineering Department and the Deanship of Admission and Registration. The Academic Warning & Academic Dismissal roles are clear and widely published on the University's website. The online Academic Advising System includes an electronic tracking system which enables advisors to record the advice information for each student. With regards to at-risk students, the Panel acknowledges that there are appropriate policies and procedures to identify students at risk of academic failure and enable timely interventions. A new feature has recently been introduced in the advising system to block at-risk students (with GPA of less than 2.0 out of 4.0) from registration unless they meet their academic advisors. During interviews, the Panel learned that a range of academic and social support is provided to students under probation, in collaboration with the Deanship of Student Affairs' Counseling and Guidance Unit. The Panel noted from its interviews with students that the peermentoring scheme and related programmes - such as Life Learning, Steps to Success, Generation Teaching - provide students with opportunities to improve their academic performance. The Department obtains student's feedback on the advising system every semester via the Senior Exit Survey. Results of the 2014-2015 survey indicate that (71%) of students believe that 'in general I received excellent advising within my academic programme'. Students interviewed by the Panel gave positive feedback on academic advising and they did not raise any specific criticism. The Panel appreciates

Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

BQA

that an appropriate system for academic advising has recently been developed, including processes to identify and support at risk students.

- 2.14 The SER details the extensive range of informal activities provided for students to expand their knowledge and experience outside the classrooms and laboratories; these include student societies and clubs, cultural and social activities, as well as sporting events. In addition, students are encouraged to attend workshops and conferences conducted locally and internationally. This was confirmed during interviews with faculty members, as well as administrative staff from the Deanship of Student Affairs. Students interviewed by the Panel were very positive about these wider opportunities, and indicated that they appreciate arrangements made by the College for them to participate in competitions and obtain professional certificates. The site visit revealed to the Panel that the general environment in the College and Department is conducive to informal learning. The Panel appreciates that the wider learning environment, including the comprehensive range of activities and resources, enable the programme's students to effectively engage in informal learning experiences.
- 2.15 In coming to its *conclusion* regarding the Efficiency of the Programme, the Panel notes, *with appreciation*, the following:
  - Clear admission policies are widely communicated, consistently implemented and periodically revised.
  - A well-managed structure is in place for the management of the BS-PICENG programme, with well-defined responsibilities and reporting lines.
  - The academic staff are qualified and have an appropriate range of specializations to teach the courses in the Programme.
  - A well-established staff selection and recruitment system is implemented in a transparent manner in alignment with institutional policies and procedures.
  - An effective Management Information System is utilized by the Chemical Engineering Department to support the programme's functions and decision-making processes.
  - A robust system, including effective policies and procedures, is consistently implemented, to ensure security of learner records and accuracy of results.
  - The library is fit for purpose with a range of resources and services that meet the needs of the staff and students of the BS-PICENG programme.
  - An extensive range of support services is provided to the programme's students to enhance their learning experience.
  - A comprehensive induction programme is provided for newly admitted students at university, college and department levels.
  - An appropriate system for academic advising has recently been developed, including processes to identify and support at-risk students.

- The wider learning environment, including the comprehensive range of activities and resources, enable the programme's students to effectively engage in informal learning experiences.
- 2.16 In terms of improvement, the Panel **recommends** that the College should:
  - develop formal mechanisms for the induction of newly-appointed academic staff as well as the retention of high performing faculty members
  - review the promotion procedures to significantly shorten the time required to process promotion applications
  - develop and implement an annual comprehensive appraisal system for all academic staff that identifies and supports areas for professional development
  - enhance students' attendance during orientation and implement appropriate provisions for those students who cannot attend the induction day.

## 2.17 Judgement

On balance, the Panel concludes that the programme **satisfies** the Indicator on **Efficiency of the Programme.** 

# 3 Indicator 3: Academic Standards of the Graduates

The graduates of the programme meet academic standards compatible with equivalent programmes in Bahrain, regionally and internationally.

- 3.1 Graduate attributes are clearly described in the Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs) and Programme Intended Learning Outcomes (PILOs) as the set of skills and attributes to be attained by graduates upon completion of the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering. The Programme ILOs are appropriately mapped to the PEOs; as well as to the Course Intended Learning Outcomes; and accordingly, the achievement of the CILOs is expected to lead to achievement of the graduate attributes of the BS-PICENG Programme. From the review of course files, the Panel notes that each CILO is measured using different and appropriate assessment methods. The achievement of each student is assessed, evaluated and recorded by each faculty member ensuring that each learner meets the required standard and level of achievement. In addition, specific performance indicators were developed and are applied consistently. The assessment tools employed by the Department for the achievement of learning outcomes by students are detailed in the SER and include direct assessment methods using performance indicators, in addition to indirect assessments through the mapping of surveys and evaluation results to the PEOs and the PILOs. The Panel finds these assessment tools to be overall valid and reliable. During its interviews, the Panel noted the detailed level of support that takes place to assist the faculty members in determining a grade and by doing this ensuring consistency in grading course modules. The Panel appreciates that the graduate attributes of the BS-PICENG programme are clearly stated, and that their attainment is ensured through the use of valid assessment methods.
- 3.2 The SER states that the Department utilises internal reference points - namely the QAAC guidelines outlined in the IDEAS Handbook and the University's Programme Development and Review Policy. In addition, external reference points, mainly the National Qualifications Framework (NQF) level descriptors and ABET criteria for engineering programmes accreditation, are referenced to verify the equivalence of the BS-PICENG programme's academic standards with other similar programmes in Bahrain, regionally and internationally. During interviews with senior management, the Panel learned that benchmarking activities have recently been formalized through the development of an institutional Benchmarking Policy which clearly states the policy purpose, scope, procedures statements and support procedures, as well as management and implementation responsibilities. In line with this policy, the QAAC in collaboration with the university Vice Presidents and Deans are responsible for the management of various aspects of benchmarking. The Panel was also informed that the Department of Chemical Engineering has embarked in external benchmarking exercises to achieve ABET accreditation of the BS-PICENG programme in 2014. The

#### BQA Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

Panel notes that the outcomes of the ABET accreditation process have resulted in programme improvements, particularly in terms of learning outcomes, curriculum and courses syllabi. The Panel appreciates that formal processes are implemented to ensure that the BS-PICENG programme's standards are comparable to national and international standards and to promote improvements in its delivery. The Panel notes that the Benchmarking Policy states that 'The University evaluates itself against national and international peers and partners through benchmarking activities, enabling it to evaluate its performance, monitor standards, compare best practices and make quality improvements.' The Panel concurs and recommends that the College should benchmark the BS-PICENG programme against similar programmes in reputable regional and international institutions.

- 3.3 The university-wide assessment strategy is defined by a range of appropriate policies and procedures for the evaluation, grading and moderation of students' assessments. Students interviewed by the Panel confirmed that they are informed of the assessment methods *via* the course specifications at the beginning of each semester, the university intranet, college publications and Blackboard. Procedures for ensuring the consistent implementation and monitoring of these policies are clearly stated in the 'Moderation of Examinations and Assessment' policy. During different interviews, the Panel learned that the implementation of assessment policies is monitored by the departmental 'Examination Moderation Committee' and 'Grade Distribution Committee' – under the supervision of the Department Chairperson - to ensure that these policies are followed and their assessments meet the required standards. In addition, a departmental 'Quality Assurance Committee' conducts regular reviews of the course files, to ensure that faculty members are adhering to the assessment guidelines, and use the findings for improvement purposes. The implementation of these processes was confirmed by the Panel from the review of these committees' reports, as well as course portfolios, including samples of moderated assignments and examinations. The Panel appreciates that effective mechanisms are, overall, implemented to ensure the consistent implementation of assessment policies, and their regular monitoring to inform improvements of BS-PICENG programme academic standards. The details of the implementation of assessment policies will be further discussed in upcoming sections.
- 3.4 Institutional assessment policies and procedures, delineated in the 'Regulations of Study and Examinations at UoB' and the 'Moderation of Examinations and Assessment Policy', clearly stipulate that assessment methods should be appropriate for the type and level of learning outcomes being assessed. The SER outlines the main mechanism adopted by the Department of Chemical Engineering to ensure the alignment of assessment with course learning Outcomes to assure the academic standards of the graduates. This was confirmed during interviews, as the Panel was informed that a course assessment matrix is utilized by faculty members in all courses

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

to map the CILOs with the assessment tools indicated in the course specifications. The Panel also noted that faculty members are aware of the concept of 'Constructive Alignment' as defined by Biggs, as they attended several training courses conducted by QAAC in this regard. From the review of the course files provided during the site visit, the Panel found that assessment items, such as examinations, assignments, projects, etc., are clearly specified to assess the extent to which the students are meeting the Course Intended Learning Outcomes. This alignment is checked by the DAC to ensure that the level mapping of outcomes and assessment is aligned with the expected level of learning outcomes and qualification. The Panel appreciates that effective tools are implemented to ensure that each category of the BS-PICENG course learning outcomes is assessed *via* appropriate assessment methods.

3.5 UoB has a well-established internal moderation system for setting assessment instruments and grading student achievement in all programmes across all colleges. The 'Regulations of Study and Examinations at the University of Bahrain' and the 'Assessment and Moderation Policy' stipulate that moderation of assessments, examinations, and grading is conducted to ensure consistency and fairness. The Panel explored the moderation mechanisms with faculty members who indicated that preassessment moderation is conducted for all summative assessments to ensure that the assessment design is aligned with article (56) of the 'Regulations of Study and Examinations at the University of Bahrain'. In multi-section courses, the course coordinators oversee the setting of the examination papers, in coordination with other faculty members teaching the course. In single-section courses, however, the course instructor is responsible for preparing the examination paper, and may consult with other faculty members specialised in the same field, as indicated in article (6) of the Assessment and Moderation Policy'. According to the SER, the DAC/QAC committee is responsible for monitoring the implementation of these policies. An Examination Committee is also established at the departmental level to conduct post-assessment moderation of completed students' work to verify the consistent implementation of examination criteria and fair award of students' grades. The Department Chair approves the grades before recording them into the system. Moreover, the Quality Assurance Office at the College and UoB perform periodic audits of course portfolios, including samples of examinations and assessment papers, and audit results are included in the QAAC comprehensive report. The consistent implementation of internal moderation system in the BS-PICENG programme was confirmed by the Panel from the review of course files and site visit interviews. The Panel appreciates that rigorous mechanisms are implemented to ensure the effectiveness of the internal moderation system - for both the setting of assessment instruments and grading students' achievements - in the BS-PICENG programme. However, the Panel notes that formative assessment tools, such as quizzes and presentations, are not subject to a formal internal moderation. The Panel recommends that the College should develop

Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

and implement an equally effective system for the internal moderation of formative assessment tools, as well as for single section courses.

- 3.6 Mechanisms for the external moderation of students assessment were recently developed and are outlined in the 'Assessment and Moderation Policy'. These include (1) the participation of external examiners in the assessment of undergraduate capstone courses and postgraduate theses, (2) accreditation and external reviews by professional organizations. During interviews with faculty members, the Panel learned that only programmes that have not been accredited over the past three years are required to involve external examiners to externally moderate the students assessments, in line with the 'Assessment and Moderation Policy'. Senior management interviewed by the Panel were of the view that the ABET accreditation process constitutes an external moderation, since the ABET evaluator examined students' graded work for consistency and validity and found them to be at an appropriate level. During interviews with academic staff, it was evident to the Panel that external examination is limited to the Capstone Design Course (PICENG 422) and Senior Project (PICENG 490), during which industry people are involved as external examiners in the evaluation of the projects' final presentations. The Panel acknowledges that the ABET accreditation procedures and reports have helped the programme tremendously in ensuring consistent and appropriate assessment that meets relevant professional and academic standards. However, the Panel is of the view that the College should adopt a formal dedicated external moderation system of assessments in all courses, regardless of whether the programme has recently been accredited or not. This will ensure a consistent approach in setting the appropriate level of examination questions among single section courses, multi-section courses and the Senior Projects. The Panel recommends that the College should expand the current external moderation mechanisms to include effective moderation of all courses, as a means of further assuring the BS-PICENG programme's academic standards.
- 3.7 The Assessment and Moderation Policy requires that 'Assessment items should assess the extent to which the students are meeting the Course Intended Learning Outcomes'. As the CILOs are mapped to the Programme Intended Learning Outcomes, the assessment in turn contributes to the achievement of the PILOs. In line with this policy, the Department implements a number of appropriate mechanisms to ensure that the level of students' achievement is appropriate to the level and type of the programme. In its interviews with faculty members, it was evident to the Panel that the Department relies on the use of the 'Course Assessment Matrix' in all courses to map the students' grades in different course assessment components with the CILOs, and a benchmark of (70%) of students achieving above (70%) is an indication of the students successfully achieving the CILO. At the end of each semester, a 'CILOs Assessment Report' is prepared for each course to summarize the level of CILOs achievement, and identify

#### 27

the course outcomes which have not been met based on the benchmark set by the Department, as well as propose improvement recommendations. During interviews, senior management emphasised that the use of external reference points, such as seeking ABET accreditation, and mapping of BS-PICENG courses against the National Qualifications Framework, is an indication that the course assessments are at an appropriate level. During the site visit, all course portfolios were made available for scrutiny by the Panel. An in-depth study of samples of assessed students' work revealed that, overall, appropriate assessment tools were utilised with a fair degree of complexity covering, in several cases critical thinking. The Panel notes that during interviews with the employers, alumni, and other stakeholders, they indicated a strong satisfaction with the graduates' ability to solve problems, especially after a period of training of 3-4 years. This is in line with international professional development of graduates. The Panel appreciates that the level of students' achievement is at an appropriate level, in line with international standards.

- 3.8 According to the SER, the Chemical Engineering Department ensures that the graduates of the BS-PICENG Programme meet the set standards via the evaluation of the extent to which the students meet the Programme aims and intended learning outcomes. As indicated earlier, a 'Course Assessment Matrix' is employed to determine the successful attainment of the CILOs. Based on the mapping of CILOs to the PILOs, the level of the achievement of the PILOs is measured and evaluated. Finally, using the Articulation matrix, in which PILOs are mapped to PEOs, the attainment of PEOs is assessed to assure the achievement of the academic standards of the graduates. The SER included extensive data on the PILOs assessment results which indicate the achievement of programme outcomes. These results go through a fairly robust internal moderation process by the QAAC. In addition, a comprehensive external scrutiny by ABET evaluators indicates international acceptance of the level of PEOs achieved by the students. From the data provided in SER, the Panel concludes that generally the achievement levels of graduate attributes and programme aims are at appropriate levels. The Capstone Senior Project and Process Control Design Project are also of an acceptable standard. Moreover, the Panel notes that the final results and grade distributions are at acceptable levels according to regional and international standards. In addition, the alumni and employers interviewed by the Panel were very satisfied with the knowledge, skills and competence attained by the graduates and appreciate that the level of graduates' achievements meet the programme aims and learning outcomes. The Panel appreciates that the Department employs effective mechanisms to ensure that the level of graduates' achievement meets programme aims and intended learning outcomes.
- 3.9 The SER states that the Department, in collaboration with the Registrar's Office, monitors the number of accepted and graduating students, students' dropout rates, as well as average length of study on a regular basis. Statistics provided in the SER

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

include the number of accepted students, dismissed students, graduates as well as the average length of study for the past four academic years. However, the Panel is of the view that the presented students data lacked sufficient critical analysis that would enable informed decision making. This deficiency was also recognized in the SER. The Panel learned during different interviews of the difficulties faced by the Department in obtaining students' data, as these are centralized in the UoB Registration Office. The Panel recommends that the College should conduct a systematic student cohort analysis and compare these essential data with those of equivalent regional and international programmes.

- 3.10 A well-managed system is in place for worked-based learning in the form of two compulsory Industrial Training courses (PICENG 299 and PICENG 399). Students are required to register in PICENG 299 and PICENG 399 for a period of two months, after completing (45) and (85) credits, respectively. Guidelines for the management and evaluation of these courses are in place and are detailed in the Industrial Training Manual, including the training objectives, procedures for enrolling in the training programme, as well as criteria for exemption from training for those who have work experience. The implementation of these guidelines was confirmed during site visit interviews during which the Panel learned that Practical Training Office at the College of Engineering is responsible for the allocation of students to various training placements. The Training Programme is administered and coordinated by a Training Committee. Supervisors are assigned to supervise students and at the end of training, students are required to write a report and make a presentation assessed by the trainer and the supervisor. The Panel was provided with adequate samples of Student Assessment Forms, Industrial Supervisor Assessment Forms and Students Presentations. Interviews with industrial training supervisors and departmental supervisors revealed that these training course are highly valued as they equip students with attributes which are difficult to attain in other courses; these include workplace ethics, professional ethics and punctuality. Students interviewed by the Panel also indicated that the internships enabled them to apply theoretical knowledge obtained in courses to real work processes as well as becoming familiar with up-todate professional package programmes. The Panel appreciates that a well-managed work-based learning programme is in place, and constitutes an essential mechanism for the development of the students' professional skills required by industry.
- 3.11 The BS-PICENG curriculum culminates with the Senior Project Course (PICENG 490) which requires students to solve engineering problems within a professional, teamoriented environment in which students form teams, select design projects and are supervised by faculty members. Effective policies and procedures for the monitoring and supervision of senior projects are in place, including mechanisms for monitoring implementation and improvements. In its interviews with faculty members, the Panel learned that the departmental Senior Project Committee is responsible for approving

### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

the students' proposals and monitoring the progress in the projects' implementation. Senior students interviewed by the Panel expressed their satisfaction with the arrangements for the management of their senior projects, as well as the support they receive from their supervisors. Moreover, employers indicated to the Panel that they value the Senior Project highly, as it equips the students with essential transferable and engineering skills that prepare them for real life engineering practice. During the site visit, the Panel reviewed samples of senior projects and found them to be overall of good quality, with appropriate use of Plagiarism detection software. The Panel also notes the involvement of external examiners from industry in the evaluation of these projects. The Panel appreciates that effective mechanisms are consistently implemented for the supervision and evaluation of the senior project, consonant with its vital role in the curriculum.

- 3.12 The Department of Chemical Engineering has a formal Programme Industrial Advisory Committee (PIAC) comprising a wide spectrum of experts from local industries of both government and private sectors. The PIAC has clear terms of reference outlined in the QAC Director Quality Manual, and meets on a fairly regular basis, at least once a year. According to the SER, the main responsibilities of the PIAC include advising the Department on its strategies for the future development of the BS-PICENG programme. From the review of PIAC meeting minutes, the Panel noted several examples of constructive feedback on the modification of the BS-PICENG curriculum, such as changes in some courses. In addition, the SER includes a summary of the PIAC suggested changes in regards to the curriculum and programme educational objectives. The Panel also noted that feedback provided by PIAC is discussed in the departmental meetings and incorporated, if appropriate, in the programme improvement plan. Senior management and advisory board members interviewed by the Panel had very positive views of the role of the PIAC and the support it provides for the BS-PICENG programme development. The Panel appreciates that an active programme advisory committee is in place, and its documented feedback is used systematically to inform programme decision-making.
- 3.13 The Chemical Engineering Department, in keeping with the QAAC requirements, conducts regular alumni and employers' surveys in a bid to obtain input on satisfaction of those surveyed regarding the standards of the graduate profile, and to gain insight into possible areas for the BS-PICENG programme improvements. The latest evaluation of the PEOs by alumni is summarized in the SER, and indicates that the achievement results are well above the threshold of (60%). The average assessment for PEO-1 'Engage in productive careers' and PEO-3 'Advance in responsibility and leadership in their careers' is (77.5%), whereas PEO-2 'Engage in ongoing professional development activities' had an assessment of (74%). The evaluation of PEOs by employers indicates a similar rating of the graduates' attributes, as expressed by satisfaction levels of (78%) for PEO-1, (74%) for PEO-2 and (77.5%) for PEO-3. In

#### Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

addition, employers and alumni interviewed by the Panel expressed very high satisfaction and support for the programme. The Panel appreciates that there is strong evidence of alumni and employers' satisfaction with the standards of the BS-PICENG programme graduates.

- 3.14 In coming to its conclusion regarding the Academic Standards of the Graduates, the Panel notes, *with appreciation*, the following:
  - Graduate attributes of the BS-PICENG programme are clearly stated, and their attainment is ensured through the use of valid assessment methods.
  - Formal processes are implemented to ensure that the BS-PICENG programme's standards are comparable to national and international standards and to promote improvements in its delivery.
  - Appropriate mechanisms are implemented to ensure the consistent implementation of assessment policies, and their regular monitoring to inform improvements in programme academic standards.
  - Effective tools are implemented to ensure that each category of the course learning outcomes is assessed *via* appropriate assessment methods.
  - Rigorous mechanisms are implemented to ensure the effectiveness of the internal moderation system for both the setting of assessment instruments and grading students' achievements.
  - The level of students' achievements is at an appropriate level, in line with international standards.
  - The Department employs effective mechanisms to ensure that the level of graduates' achievement meets programme aims and intended learning outcomes.
  - A well-managed work-based learning programme is in place, and constitute an essential mechanism for the development of the students' professional skills required by industry
  - Effective mechanisms are consistently implemented for the supervision and evaluation of the senior project, consonant with its vital role in the curriculum.
  - An active programme advisory committee is in place, and its documented feedback is used systematically to inform programme decision-making.
  - There is strong evidence of alumni and employers' satisfaction with the standards of the BS-PICENG programme graduates.
- 3.15 In terms of improvement, the Panel **recommends** that the Department should:
  - formally benchmark the BS-PICENG programme against similar programmes in reputable regional and international institutions
  - develop and implement an effective system for the internal moderation of formative assessment tools

- expand the current external moderation mechanisms to include effective moderation of all courses, as a means of further assuring the BS-PICENG programme's academic standards
- conduct a systematic student cohort analysis and compare these essential data with those of equivalent regional and international programmes

## 3.16 Judgement

On balance, the Panel concludes that the programme **satisfies** the Indicator on **Academic Standards of the Graduates.** 

# 4 Indicator 4: Effectiveness of Quality Management and Assurance

The arrangements in place for managing the programme, including quality assurance and continuous improvement, contribute to giving confidence in the programme.

- 4.1 Institutional policies, procedures and regulations are published on the university website and made known to the different constituencies. The Panel notes that policies, procedures and regulations are appropriate in scope for the institution, college and programme. During interviews, the Panel learned that the implementation and continuous revision of these policies is mainly the responsibility of the Quality Assurance and Accreditation Centre (QAAC). Implementation is managed through clear identification of responsibilities, with key roles at relevant levels: University -Director of QAAC; College -Dean and Director of College QA Office; Department -Chairperson and faculty teaching specific courses. The Panel appreciates that a comprehensive and well-documented set of institutional policies, procedures and regulations are in place and are applied effectively and consistently in the BS-PICENG programme and across the College. The Panel acknowledges the College's efforts in communicating institutional policies and academic regulations to staff and students during induction programmes and in departmental council meetings. However, the Panel notes that some faculty members interviewed by the Panel were not aware of the recently developed policies. The Panel recommends that the College should enhance the mechanisms for communicating new institutional policies to respective stakeholders to ensure the effective application of these policies.
- 4.2 As indicated earlier (see section 2.3), the hierarchy of management at the University, College and Department levels is appropriate and adequate to ensure effective management of the programme. Academic responsibilities are clearly defined at appropriate levels: University, College, Department, Programme and individual courses. The Dean of the College of Engineering and the Department Chairperson have key leadership roles. In addition, faculty members are actively involved in decision-making through a comprehensive structure of departmental committees that consider every academic and administrative matter, including curriculum, examinations, recruitment and promotion. The Department has primary responsibility for academic standards, with the Department Council approving all routine academic decisions. Decisions involving radical change or affecting other departments or programmes are referred to College and / or University Council(s). During interviews, the Panel heard several examples confirming that a hierarchy of experienced leadership exists at different levels and that each level is aware of its responsibilities and accountabilities. The Panel appreciates that the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering programme is managed in a way that demonstrates effective and responsible leadership.

Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

- 4.3 There is a clear Quality Assurance management system at all levels within the institution. At university level, this includes the role of the Adviser to the President on Academic Quality, and the Academic Accreditation Committee which schedules routine quality audits. At college level, key parts of the system are: the role of the Dean, the College Quality Assurance Office, and the College Accreditation Committee, while at the programme level, the Department Accreditation Committee (DAC) is mainly responsible for implementation of the quality system. The Panel notes that at the department / programme level, there is a very strong focus on assessment of PEOs, PILOs, and CILOs, informed by an appropriate range of inputs including students' grades and stakeholders' views. During interviews, the Panel confirmed that the existing QA structure effectively communicates the information and monitors the implementation of the quality assurance measures set by the University. The QA system is supported by an Assessment Management Information System (AIMS) which houses all the evaluation data and reports of all academic programmes. In addition, a comprehensive Quality Assurance Committee (QAC) Director Manual describes in details the quality policy, the structure of the committee as well as including all quality forms that are needed for QA, such as meeting agenda forms, different survey forms, course syllabus forms, etc. The QA management system is monitored by systematic reporting upwards through committees, including the Department Accreditation Committee, Department Council, and College Accreditation Committee. The Panel acknowledges that the organisation of the QAC and DAC in the College of Engineering involves members with different qualifications that ensure appropriate implementation and monitoring of QA policies. The internal audit function is also a component of the quality assurance management system and it plays an important role in quality assurance monitoring. In its interviews with senior management, the Panel was informed about the plans to audit the College Engineering in the future. The Panel appreciates that a comprehensive quality assurance management system is in place and is consistently implemented and monitored.
- 4.4 According to the SER, several workshops and meetings have been conducted to discuss quality assurance issues, so that all academics have sufficient understanding of their role in ensuring the quality of provision within the department. A list of these workshops as well as evidence of material presented were made available for the Panel. During interviews, faculty members clarified to the Panel their roles in quality assurance including the maintaining of academic standards through achievement of PEOs, PILOs and CILOs; ensuring students' awareness of learning outcomes; and the completion of Course Assessment forms. The Panel also heard from support staff in the laboratories and the library about their roles in supporting student learning. In addition, senior staff interviewed by the Panel emphasized the role of international accreditation (ABET) processes as well as the ongoing review and evaluations by the university QAAC in promoting quality assurance culture within the College of Engineering since 2005. From provided evidence and site visit interviews, it is evident

#### BQA Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

to the Panel that there is a shared understanding amongst academic and support staff members about the importance of the QA system. The Panel appreciates that the College provides capacity-building opportunities for academic and administrative staff to enhance their understanding of quality assurance concepts.

- 4.5 There are structured policies and procedures in place for the development of new academic programmes. The introduction of new programmes is achieved via well-defined processes involving the Departmental Curriculum Committee, Department Council, College Curriculum Committee, the College Council, and ultimately the University Council. During interviews, the Panel learned that these processes emphasize several aspects such as: the relevance of the suggested programme for the labour market, graduates' employment, feedback from internal and external stakeholders, as well as alignment with external accreditation benchmarks. The Panel was also informed that the Department of Chemical Engineering has not recently developed new academic programmes. The Panel acknowledges that rigorous policies and procedures are in place to ensure that a newly developed programme is relevant, fit for purpose, and complies with institutional regulations.
- 4.6 There are clear arrangements for annual internal evaluation of curriculum, teaching and other academic issues. The guidelines for the preparation of internal Self Evaluation Reports and Improvement Plans for each programme are outlined in the 'Quality Manual for DAC Committees'. The cycles for annual evaluations are explained in the Programme Quality Assurance Policy and comprises assessment of Programme Educational Objectives, Programme Intended Learning Outcomes and Course Intended Learning Outcomes. The QAAC coordinates quality assurance activities with other departments and colleges by providing the appropriate templates for the SER and action progress reports. In its interviews, the Panel learned that faculty members are required to prepare annual course reports which include: an analysis of students' achievement and grades with reference to the CILOs; analysis of prerequisites; and quantitative results from students' evaluation. These reports are submitted through departmental committees and discussed at the Department Council. The Panel also heard several examples of improvements made in light of these evaluations, including the removal or introduction of new courses, improvement in teaching or changes in course pre-requisites. The Department is also required to submit internal SERs, along with an improvement to the university QAAC, which, in turn, submits a summary report for all academic programmes to the University Council. Moreover, the QAAC releases information on key assessment statistics to all programmes in the University. This practice is commendable. The Panel appreciates that appropriate arrangements for annual internal programme evaluation are implemented to inform programme improvements. However, the Panel notes that the self-evaluation report submitted for the current review by QQA is in need of improvement. Whilst the Panel acknowledges that some areas for improvement are

Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

identified in the SER; the Panel finds the SER to be overall descriptive, rather than reflective. Senior university and college QA staff interviewed by the Panel acknowledged this limitation across the (8) SERs prepared for the Programmes-within-College Reviews of the College of Engineering programmes. The Panel recommends that the College-level QAO and University-level QAAC should develop formal mechanisms to support drafting of self-evaluation reports that emphasise reflective evaluation, and to promote sharing of good practice in developing SERs across the College and University.

- 4.7 Arrangements for the periodic external and internal reviews of programmes are stipulated in the Programme Quality Assurance and Enhancement Policy. In line with this policy, academic programmes are reviewed every five years via a process that incorporates both internal and external feedback, as well as mechanisms for implementing improvement recommendations. During interviews, the Panel learned that preparations for external accreditation by ABET are considered an important catalyst for internal reviews, which promotes improvements in the programme. These reviews have resulted in ABET accreditation of the programme in 2008 and 2014. The Panel notes that the SERs submitted in 2014 clearly deal in a comprehensive way with all aspects of the programme, such as admission policy, registration procedures, learning resources and promotion policy as examples. The Panel also notes that the Department systematically obtains feedback from internal and external stakeholders, including faculty members, students, alumni, as well as members of the PIAC. During interviews, employers and PIAC members indicated that periodic reviews of the BS-PICENG programme ensure its relevance to the labour market and alignment with international standards. The implementation of internal and external reviews is monitored by the DAC and QAO to ensure consistency and adherence to the University Quality Assurance Center guidelines. The Panel appreciates that a rigorous system is implemented for the periodic review of the BS-PICENG programme to ensure its relevance and continuous improvement.
- 4.8 Mechanisms are in place for the periodic collection and analysis of feedback from internal and external stakeholders, consistent with the Programme Quality Assurance and Enhancement Policy. The University QA procedures require that a range of surveys are systematically conducted; these include Student Course Evaluation, Senior-Exit Survey, Alumni and Employer Surveys. From provided evidence, the Panel notes that the quantitative results from these surveys are statistically analysed and considered by academic staff, the Department Chairperson, and the Dean. The Department Chairperson has the key responsibility for ensuring that the survey results are included in the Department Action Plan during the review process, and that improvement actions are implemented. Examples of improvements made in light of these surveys are included in the SER and have been confirmed during interviews with different stakeholders. The Panel appreciates that the structured comments collected

#### BQA Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

from stakeholders' surveys are analysed, and the outcomes are used to inform mechanisms for programme improvement. Following the interview with external stakeholders, the Panel confirmed that the results of these surveys are conveyed to them through the PIAC meetings. In general, there was a high level of satisfaction amongst stakeholders regarding the mechanism adopted to communicate with the department, getting feedback and implementing recommendations. However, the Panel notes that whilst comprehensive student surveys are performed that deal with every aspect of the learning and teaching operation; the outcomes from these surveys are not currently communicated to students. During interviews, students indicated that, in general, these results are not made public to them and they were not informed about changes resulting from their comments. In its interviews with senior management, the Panel was informed that the University is keen to take this issue forward, and that QAAC is planning an online survey tool to enhance the mechanisms for the communication of survey results. The Panel recommends that the College, in collaboration with QAAC, should enhance the current survey tools to ensure that the students' survey outcomes are transparent and effectively communicated to all stakeholders.

4.9 The SER states that all faculty members are expected to remain current in their discipline through scholarly and professional development activities. During interviews, the Panel was informed that the College of Engineering is committed to provide administrative and academic staff members with adequate opportunities for ongoing professional development. Faculty members interviewed by the Panel confirmed that they are encouraged by the College to participate in local, regional, and international conferences and training programmes. The Training and Development Office at the University is responsible for the identification of workshop topics and their communication to all colleges so that administrative and academic staff select the topics, as appropriate to their needs. From the provided list of professional development activities attended by the programme faculty, the Panel finds that the topics and number of activities are overall satisfactory, especially the topics on quality assurance and assessment. Following interviews with senior management, the Panel learned about the trend at University level towards increasing provision of continuing professional development for staff, including compulsory development for specific groups. Currently there are two target groups. First, newly appointed academic staff, including those returning with PhDs, are expected to complete the Postgraduate Certificate in Academic Practice Programme (PCAP) which is aligned to the UK Higher Education Academy Fellowship. Second, academic staff who were not scoring highly in student evaluations; were mentored and peer reviewed by more qualified and experienced faculty members. The Panel acknowledges that the College provides opportunities for the professional development of faculty members; however, there was insufficient evidence that these activities are linked to formal training needs analysis or staff appraisal. The Panel notes that the Chemical Engineering Department

BQA

has an ongoing initiative 'to develop a better monitoring process for the professional development activities, which includes research, teaching and other related activities'. The Panel concurs and recommends that the College should develop and implement staff development policy and procedures, that identify areas for professional development linked to their appraisal.

- 4.10 In scoping the labour market, the Programme Industrial Advisory Committee (PIAC) comprised of industry experts in the discipline, provide industry input to the curriculum and market needs. The SER also cites references to studies by the Bahrain Higher Education Council (HEC) as another element in scoping of the labour market. In addition, results of Alumni and Employers' surveys provide valuable feedback regarding the contemporary demands and requirements of the labor market. In its interviews with employers; training courses supervisors; senior project external examiners; and members of the PIAC, the Panel noted the commitment of these external stakeholders in supporting the currency and relevance of the programme to the local labour market, and their enthusiasm to support future development. The Panel also acknowledges the responsiveness by the Department to suggestions from PIAC about the introduction of new courses to reflect the developing labour market. The Panel finds these initiatives to be appropriate and may be further expanded to ensure that the programme is aligned with the labor market needs. The Department recognizes in its improvement plan the need to 'Conduct regular studies of the labor market to ensure that programs are up-to-date and match market needs'. The Panel concurs and recommends that the College should conduct specialised scoping studies to ensure that the BS-PICENG programme remains up-to-date.
- 4.11 In coming to its conclusion regarding the Effectiveness of Quality Management and Assurance, the Panel notes, *with appreciation*, the following:
  - A comprehensive and well-documented set of institutional policies, procedures and regulations are in place and are applied effectively and consistently in the programme and across the College.
  - The BS-PICENG programme is managed in a way that demonstrates effective and responsible leadership
  - The College provides capacity-building opportunities for academic and administrative staff to enhance their understanding of quality assurance concepts.
  - A comprehensive quality assurance management system is in place and is consistently implemented and monitored.
  - Appropriate arrangements for annual internal programme evaluation are implemented to inform programme improvements.
  - A rigorous system is implemented for the periodic review of the BS-PICENG programme to ensure its relevance and continuous improvement.

Programmes-within-College Review Report - University of Bahrain - College of Engineering - B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering - 4-7 April 2016

- Structured comments collected from stakeholders' surveys are analysed, and the outcomes are used to inform mechanisms for programme improvement.
- 4.12 In terms of improvement, the Panel **recommends** that the College should:
  - enhance the mechanisms for communicating new institutional policies to respective stakeholders to ensure the effective application of these policies
  - develop formal mechanisms to support drafting of self-evaluation reports that emphasise reflective evaluation, and to promote sharing of good practice in developing self-evaluation reports across the College and University
  - enhance the current survey tools to ensure that the students' survey outcomes are transparent and effectively communicated to all stakeholders
  - develop and implement staff development policy and procedures, that identify areas for professional development linked to their appraisal
  - conduct specialised scoping studies to ensure that the BS-PICENG programme remains up-to-date.

## 4.13 Judgement

BQA

On balance, the Panel concludes that the programme **satisfies** the Indicator on **Effectiveness of Quality Management and Assurance** 

# 5 Conclusion

Taking into account the institution's own self-evaluation report, the evidence gathered from the interviews and documentation made available during the site visit, the Panel draws the following conclusion in accordance with the DHR/BQA *Programmes-within-College Reviews Handbook*, 2014:

There is confidence in the B.Sc. in Process Instrumentation and Control Engineering offered by the College of Engineering at the University of Bahrain