

Directorate of Government Schools Reviews Short Review Report

Confidential

Buri Primary Boys School Buri – Northern Governorate Kingdom of Bahrain

Date of Review: 1-3 April 2013 SG179-C2-R098

Table of Contents

The Directorate of Government Schools Reviews	1
Introduction	2
Characteristics of the school	2
Table of review judgements awarded	3
Review judgements	4
Overall effectiveness	4
The school's main strengths	5
The school s multi strenguis	
Recommendations	6

© Copyright National Authority for Qualifications and Quality Assurance of Education and Training-Bahrain 2013

The Directorate of Government Schools Reviews

The Directorate of Government Schools Reviews (DGS) is part of the National Authority for Qualifications and Quality Assurance of Education and Training (QQA) that was officially set up in 2008 as an independent body governed and supervised by the Council of Ministers. It is established to raise standards in education and training.

The DGS is responsible for:

- evaluating and reporting on the quality of provision in all government schools
- establishing success measures
- spreading best practice
- making recommendations for government school improvements.

Review involves monitoring standards and evaluating the quality of provision against a clear set of indicators. The reviews are independent, objective and transparent. They provide important information for government schools about their strengths and areas for improvement to assist in focusing efforts and resources as part of the cycle of school improvement in order to raise standards.

Grade description	Interpretation							
Outstanding (1)	Outcomes or provision are at least good in all areas and outstanding in the majority.							
Good (2)	Outcomes or provision are at least satisfactory in all areas and good in the majority.							
Satisfactory (3)	A basic level of adequacy. There are no major weaknesses, or the majority of areas are satisfactory. Some areas may be good.							
Inadequate (4)	There are major weaknesses or the majority of areas are inadequate.							

Review grades are awarded a four-point scale:

Introduction

This review was conducted over three days, by a team of six reviewers. During the review, reviewers observed lessons and other activities, examined students' written and other work, analysed data concerning the school's performance and other documents, and talked with staff, students and parents. This report summarises their findings and recommendations.

School's name		Buri Primary Boys School												
School's type		Government												
Year of establishme														
Age range of students			6-12 years											
Grades (e.g. 1 to 12)		Primary					Middle				High			
		1-6					-				-			
Number of students	5	Boys 335			G	irls	rls -				Total 335			
Students' social bac	ckground	Mo	Most students come from middle-incor					me fa	families.					
Classes per grade	Grade	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	Classes	2	2	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Town /Village		Buri												
Governorate			Northern											
Number of administrative staff			8											
Number of teaching staff			33											
Curriculum		Ministry of Education												
Main language(s) o	f instruction	tion Arabic												
Principal's tenure		1 year												
External assessr examinations	nent and	d QQA national examinations												
Accreditation (if ap	plicable)	-												
Number of students in the following categories according to the school's classification		Outstanding			-	Gifted & Calented		Physical Disabilities			Learning Difficulties			
			52			14			1			36		
Major recent changes in the school			Nor	ie.										

Characteristics of the school

Table of review judgements awarded

Aspect	Grade: Description						
The school's overall effectiveness	3: Satisfactory						
The school's capacity to improve	3: Satisfactory						
	Elementary / Primary	Middle / Intermediate	High / Secondary	Overall			
Students' academic achievement	3		-	3			
Students' personal development	3	-	-	3			
The quality and effectiveness of teaching and learning	3	-	-	3			
The quality of the curriculum implementation	3	-	-	3			
The quality of support and guidance for students	3	-	-	3			
The quality and effectiveness of leadership, management and governance	3	-	-	3			

Key:

- 1: Outstanding
- 2: Good
- 3: Satisfactory
- 4: Inadequate

Overall effectiveness

□ How effective is the school in meeting the needs of students and their parents?

Grade: 3 Satisfactory

Overall performance has changed from inadequate in the previous review in May 2010 to satisfactory this time, following one MV where 'sufficient progress' was achieved. All aspects of the review were satisfactory. Senior leaderships' motivation and provision of training for teachers have contributed to improve teaching and learning. Students achieve satisfactory academic progress in the majority of lessons, except for English in the second cycle with only limited progress. Support for the different categories of students inside and outside the classrooms varies. The majority of students show an improvement in behaviour, harmony while working together and preservation of school property; some even helped in preparing e-lessons as part of the e-learning team. Effective mechanisms to communicate with parents are in place. This all result in good levels of satisfaction by students and parents.

□ How strong is the school's capacity to improve?

Grade: 3 Satisfactory

The school's capacity to improve has changed from inadequate in the previous review to satisfactory this time. Notable improvements include spreading a culture of change, improving teachers' professional competencies, increasing the effectiveness of lessons, especially Arabic in the first cycle and mathematics in general, and improving students' personal development. Leadership's planning and monitoring mechanisms contribute to achieving satisfactory levels in all aspects of school work. The school has also changed its strategic plan this semester, although not yet due, in order to improve on its previous plan. However, the fact that it is a new plan, the school's senior leadership is not stable and that teachers' performance varies in core subjects, constitute challenges which require more effort by the school in order to continue improving overall performance.

The school's main strengths

- The overall improvement in the behaviour of the majority of students and their ability to work together in harmony
- The school's environment
- The students' e-learning team
- Effective communication with parents.

Recommendations

In order to improve, the school should:

- raise students' academic achievement by continuing to improve the teaching and learning processes, to include:
 - developing basic skills in core subjects, especially English
 - supporting students based on their different educational categories, particularly low achieving students
 - managing lessons more effectively, especially with regard to time management
 - using effective assessment methods in order to meet students' needs.
- develop self-evaluation, monitoring mechanisms and analyse the findings carefully to determine priorities and improve the strategic plan
- monitor the impact of professional development programmes on teachers' performance in lessons.